

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
_	. 10/751,545	01/05/2004	Otmar Klingler	DEAV2003/0002 US NP	2394	
	5487 ANDREA O. I	5487 7590 10/15/2007 ANDREA Q. RYAN			EXAMINER	
	SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. LLC			RAO, DEEPAK R		
	1041 ROUTE 202-206 MAIL CODE: D303A			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	BRIDGEWATER, NJ 08807			1624		
			·			
				NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				10/15/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPatent.E-Filing@sanofi-aventis.com andrea.ryan@sanofi-aventis.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/751,545	KLINGLER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Deepak Rao	1624	

- state and timing of an tipped. 2110.	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Deepak Rao	1624							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 25 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE TH	THE REPLY FILED <u>25 September 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of								
this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods:	his application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which blaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or 3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the blowing time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the	e final rejection, whicheve	r is later. In no						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE[) WITHIN TWO							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on). Which the petition under 37 CFR 1 136/a	and the appropriate exte	nsion foo hove						
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 25 September 2007.	A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4	11.37 must he filed wi	thin two						
months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS	•								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	m).	i ⊨ below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	timely filed amendme	ent canceling							
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		II be entered and an e	explanation of						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	<u>xt</u> be entered necessary						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).									
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ice because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).									
13. Other:									
•	•	/Deepak Rao/							
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1624							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: there is no nexus between the merits of the data provided in the specification and reference taught compounds. See MPEP 706.01(b). "To be given substantial weight in the determination of obviousness or nonobviousness, evidence of secondary considerations must be relevant to the subject matter as claimed, and therefore the examiner must determine whether there is a nexus between the merits of the claimed invention and the evidence of secondary considerations". In the instant case, applicant compared a dicarboxylic acid with the invention compounds of dicarboxylic amides (see Table 3 of the specification). Applicant has not established unexpected results for the invention compounds (i.e., dicarboxylic amides) in comparison with the closest reference disclosed compound (also a dicarboxylic amide) when tested under same experimental conditions. The experimental data provided in Baader reference is not deemed sufficient to establish the unexpected superiority for the instant compounds because there is nothing on the record that dicarboxylic amide compounds of the invention and dicarboxylic amide of the reference show different properties when tested under same conditions. Any differences between the claimed invention and the prior art may be expected to result in some differences in properties.